

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,200	KUBO, RYOJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
ALBERT H. CUTLER		2622	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>N/A</u>		
(1) <u>ALBERT H. CUTLER</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>John J. Torrente (Reg. 26,359)</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>4 December 2009</u>	Time: <u>10:45AM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	N/A		
Claims discussed:	N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:	N/A		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The Examiner asserted that figure 7 should be labeled "prior art" and proposed placing this change to the drawings in an Examiner's Amendment. Mr. Torrente agreed to the proposed amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			